

Regarding Updating the Commission's Rule for Over-the-Air Reception Devices 19-36

Reply Comment from Mark Wahl, Coordinator of CLEAR (Citizen League Encouraging Awareness of Radiation)

Commissioners,

This update in the rules for locating reception devices on private property is not just a tweak but an entire re-configuring of the meaning and intention of the original rule. It is, of course, in line with other recent FCC rules involving 5G, antenna location, and pre-emption of local control by the activities, whims and desires of wireless companies. This literally turns towns and rural areas into the "Wild West—Anything Goes" regimen for locating intense radiation devices in densely inhabited areas. It opens the way for all competing wireless companies to redundantly locate radar-frequency emitters within 50 feet of each other in the middle of dense populations if desired, as long as private landowners are available to hold out their hands for hard cash.

Though the telcos may turn their deaf ears toward any complaint about health effects (1996 Telco Act which the CT supreme court says indemnifies them), the private landowners are not so indemnified against lawsuits by neighbors and public passers-by based on nuisance, disability, assault, and many other legal factors. This is, of course, as the telcos and their abetting FCC want it, i.e., to "externalize" the liabilities created by 5G as they disseminate it without restraint. (Note that Swiss Re, massive re-insurer, has recently re-declared that it will not underwrite any liability created by health effects of 5G for any entity including companies, governments or individuals.) There now is overwhelming internationally verified evidence (which the FCC has refused to acknowledge since 1996), that the non-ionizing radiation of wifi frequencies have strong health effects. The property owners who cooperate with telcos in this assault on the public welfare can be legally challenged by appeals these peer-reviewed results. In so doing, citizens are turned against other citizens who collecting lease money from the telcos and community divisions result.

Thus, this is an assault on the cohesion of communities as well as on the health and well being of the populace. I and my constituents in CLEAR located in WA State strongly oppose such a ruling against local sovereignty of regulating bodies as well as civic cohesion and health. Please revise, or better revoke, this ruling.

Mark Wahl